Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: ORANGE GROVE EL Campus ID: 101902112 **District Name: ALDINE ISD**

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability

STAAR Percent				African American ory Standa		c White		Asian					ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
Grade 3 Reading	2016 72% 2015 74%		60% 74%	*	61% 74%	*	- -	-	- -	-	*	59% 74%	53% 75%		52% 70%	- -
Mathematics	2016 74% 2015 74%		58% 78%	*	60% 77%	*	-	-	-	-	* 32%	59% 78%	58% 79%		59% 79%	-
Grade 4 Reading	2016 74% 2015 71%		66% 70%	56% *	67% 71%	*	- -	- -	- -	*	* 33%	65% 70%	60% 72%		61% 70%	- -
Mathematics	2016 72% 2015 71%		62% 69%	*	64% 69%	*	-	-	-	*	* 40%		61% 64%		63% 78%	- -
Writing	2016 68% 2015 67%		49% 75%	67% *	48% 76%	*	-	-	-	*	* 33%	48% 73%	39% 78%		44% 77%	- -
All Grades All Subjects	2016 74% 2015 73%		59% 74%	49% 78%	60% 74%	54% *	<u>-</u>	-	-	*	26% 31%	58% 72%	54% 74%		56% 75%	- -
Reading	2016 72% 2015 74%		63% 73%	50% 78%	64% 72%	*	-	-	-	*	30% 26%	62% 72%	56% 74%		56% 70%	- -
Mathematics	2016 75% 2015 73%		60% 74%	38% 89%	62% 74%	*	-	-	-	*	30% 35%	60% 73%	60% 73%		61% 79%	-
Writing	2016 68% 2015 68%		49% 75%	67% *	48% 76%	*	-	-	-	*	* 33%	48% 73%	39% 78%		44% 77%	-
STAAR Percent a	at Final Leve	I II or Ab	ove													
All Grades All Subjects	2016 42% 2015 38%		24% 26%	7% 13%	25% 26%	15% *	-	-	- -	*	19% 23%	23% 24%	19% 19%		24% 28%	- -
Reading	2016 42% 2015 40%		28% 26%	13% 22%	28% 26%	*	-	-	-	*	22% 21%	26% 24%	22% 16%		26% 25%	-
Mathematics	2016 40% 2015 36%		23% 29%	0% 11%	25% 29%	*	-	-	-	*	22% 24%	22% 28%	20% 25%		24% 36%	-
Writing	2016 39% 2015 31%		20% 18%	11% *	20% 19%	*	-	-	-	*	* 27%			22% 19%	17% 17%	-
STAAR Percent a	at Level III A	dvanced	l													
All Grades All Subjects	2016 17% 2015 14%		7% 8%	5% 9%	7% 8%	15% *	- -	- -	- -	*	0% 8%	6% 7%	6% 5%	8% 8%	6% 8%	- -
Reading	2016 16% 2015 15%		10% 10%	6% 11%	10% 10%	*	-	-	-	*	0% 3%	9% 8%	9% 6%	12% 11%	8% 8%	-
Mathematics	2016 17% 2015 14%		6% 8%	0% 11%	7% 8%	*	- -	-	- -	*	0% 12%	6% 8%	5% 5%	6% 6%	7% 10%	- -
Writing	2016 14%	5%	2%	11%	1%	*	-	-	-	*	*	2%	1%	4%	0%	-

Two or Pacific More Special Econ African American State District Campus American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Ed Disadv ELL Female Male Migrant 2015 8% 2% 4% 13% 4% 3% 3% 6% 3% STAAR Participation (All Grades) All Tests 2016 99% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 99% 100% 99% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 2015 100% 100% 100% 100% Reading 2016 99% 99% 99% 100% 99% 100% 100% 99% 100% 98% 100% 2015 99% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 99% 100% 99% Mathematics 2016 100% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 2015 99% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% Writing 2016 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 2015 100% 100% 100% 100% STAAR Participation Results by Assessment Type for Students Served in Special Education Settings (All Grades) Reading Tests % of Participants 2016 98% 98% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% % STAAR/EOC With No Accommodations 2016 13% 9% 17% 18% 17% 14% 36% 20% 17% % STAAR/EOC With Accommodations 2016 73% 76% 57% 55% 57% 59% 55% 40% 61% % STAAR Alternate2 2016 11% 13% 26% 27% 26% 27% 9% 40% 22% % of Non-Participants 2016 2% 2% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% **Mathematics Tests** % of Participants 2016 99% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% % STAAR/EOC With No Accommodations 2016 12% 9% 17% 18% 17% 14% 36% 20% 17% % STAAR/EOC With Accommodations 2016 75% 75% 57% 55% 57% 59% 55% 40% 61% 40% 2016 12% 15% 27% 26% 27% 9% 22% % STAAR Alternate2 26%

1%

1%

% of Non-Participants

2016

0%

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

															Percent of
								Two or			ELL				Eligible
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Specia	I(Current &	ELL	Total	Total	•
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	+	Met	Eligible	Met
Performance Status - State														_	
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Υ		Υ						Υ		Υ		4	4	100
Mathematics	Υ		Υ						Υ		Υ		4	4	100
Writing	N		N						N		N		0	4	0
Science													0	0	
Social Studies													0	0	
Total													8	12	67
Performance Status - Federa	ıl														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N		N		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N		N	n/a			
Mathematics	N		N		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N		N	n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	(95%			

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

^{&#}x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

[&]quot;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Reading Mathematics Total	All Students Y Y	African sAmericanHispani Y Y	c White	American Indian		Econ	ELL al(Current & Monitored)		I Total Eligible 4 4 8	
Federal Graduation Status (Graduation Target Met Reason Code ***	(Target: Se	ee Reason Codes)						0	0	
Total								0	0	
District: Met Federal Limits Reading	on Altern	ative Assessments								
Alternate 1%	n/a									
Number Proficient	n/a									
Total Federal Cap Limit Mathematics	n/a									
Alternate 1%	n/a									
Number Proficient	n/a									
Total Federal Cap Limit	: n/a									
Total										
Overall Total								16	20	80

⁺ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria. n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Two or **ELL** ΑII African American **Pacific** More (Current & ELL Econ Special Students American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Disadv Ed Monitored) (Current) Performance Rates Reading # at Level II Satisfactory 230 6 222 206 7 147 n/a Standard 23 220 176 **Total Tests** 353 13 336 325 % at Level II Satisfactory 65% 46% 66% 63% 30% 67% n/a Standard Mathematics # at Level II Satisfactory 220 6 213 201 7 145 n/a Standard **Total Tests** 354 13 337 326 23 220 176 % at Level II Satisfactory 62% 46% 63% 62% 30% 66% n/a Standard Writing 78 53 # at Level II Satisfactory 5 75 84 n/a Standard **Total Tests** 87 169 6 161 156 110 % at Level II Satisfactory 50% 83% 48% 48% 48% n/a Standard Science # at Level II Satisfactory n/a Standard **Total Tests** % at Level II Satisfactory n/a Standard **Social Studies** # at Level II Satisfactory n/a Standard **Total Tests** % at Level II Satisfactory n/a Standard **Participation Rates** Reading: 2015-2016 Assessments 388 **Number Participating** 16 366 346 23 n/a 201 ** **Total Students** 391 16 369 349 23 n/a 201 Participation Rate 99% 100% 99% 100% 99% 100% n/a 100% Mathematics: 2015-2016 Assessments 369 ** 349 23 201 **Number Participating** 16 391 n/a ** **Total Students** 391 16 369 349 23 n/a 201 Participation Rate 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% n/a

^{***} Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

Two or **ELL** ΑII Pacific (Current & African American More Econ Special **ELL** Students American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Disadv Ed Monitored) (Current)

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or	_			
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
	4-year Longitudinal Cohort Graduation Rate (Gr 9-12): Class of 2015											
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	uation Rate	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 201	4								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rate	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a Mathematics **Number Proficient** n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A Nο Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	1.0	1.7%	3.1%	1.0%
Bachelors	43.3	77.0%	72.1%	74.7%
Masters	11.0	19.5%	24.1%	23.6%
Doctorate	1.0	1.8%	0.8%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty **Summary Reports**

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

High Poverty

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General Education	Special Education	Total
Total Number of Teachers		47	0	47
Total Number of Classes		47	0	47
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	47	0	47
	Percent	100.00%		100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%		0.00%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of	Number of Teachers				
	Elem (PK-6)	 secondary (7-12)				
Emergency (for certified personnel)	Ó	Ó				
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0				
Non-renewable	0	0				
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0				
District Teaching	0	0				
Temporary	0	0				

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Numbe	r of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	7	1
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			%	% At or Above	% At or Above	% At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
Grado 1	rtodding	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade Grade 4	Subject Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment